

APACS & Local Performance Indicators and Targets

Indicator	Description	Accountability	Performance 2007/2008	Performance 2008/2009	Most Similar Force Average 2008/2009 ^	Target 2008/2009	Target 2009/2010	Target 2010/2011
Confidence and Satisfaction								
APACS 1.1	Percentage of users that are satisfied with the overall service provided by the police	Police	77.5%	78.5%	81.2%	80.0%	83.5%	
APACS 1.2	Comparison of satisfaction between white users and users from minority ethnic groups with the overall service provided by the police i) of users from visible minority ethnic groups, the percentage satisfied ii) of white users, the percentage satisfied	Police	70.8% 76.5%	74.3% 78.3%	- -	Equitable Levels	Equitable Levels	Equitable levels
APACS 1.3	Satisfaction of victims of racist incidents with the overall service provided by the police	Police	62.8%	78.7%	75.5%	75% by 2010/11	78.7%	
APACS 1.4	Overall satisfaction with the contact had with the criminal justice system by victims and witnesses of crime whose cases reach the point of an offender being charged	LCJB	81% (quarter 3 and 4)	Not available	Not available	To report performance	To report performance	
APACS 2.1	Percentage of people who agree that the police and local councils seek their views on anti-social behaviour and crime issues in their area	Police (joint)	32.3% (Oct 07 - Sep 08)	38.0%	41.2%	To report performance	To report performance	
APACS 2.2	People who agree that the police and local councils are dealing with anti-social behaviour and crime issues that matter in their area	Police (joint)	39.2% (Oct 07 - Sep 08)	45.7%	46.2%	To report performance	45%	Home Office target = 50.7%
APACS 2.3	Percentage of the public who think the police in their area are doing a good job	Police	36.4%	43.3%	47.6%	41%	44%	47%
APACS 2.4	Percentage of the public who are confident that the Criminal Justice System as a whole is effective	LCJB	Not Available	31.3%	34.2%	To report performance	To report performance	
APACS 2.5	Percentage of the public who are confident that the Criminal Justice System as a whole is fair	LCJB	Not Available	47.2%	53.4%	To report performance	To report performance	
Promoting Safety								
APACS 4.1	Percentage of people who perceive a high level of anti-social behaviour in their local area	Police (joint)	14.5%	16.0%	18.8%	Maintain performance	Maintain performance	
APACS 4.2	Percentage of people who perceive people being drunk or rowdy in public places to be a problem in their local area	Police (joint)	21.1%	24.8%	28.1%	To report performance	Maintain performance	
APACS 4.3	Percentage of people who perceive drug use or drug dealing to be a problem in their local area	Police (joint)	24.6%	27.6%	31.3%	Maintain performance	Maintain performance	
APACS 7.1	i) Number of deliberate primary fires per 10,000 population ii) Number of deliberate secondary fires per 10,000 population	Fire and Rescue Authority	16.2 33.6	11.5 23.2	Not available Not available	To report performance To report performance	11.5 23.2	11.5 23.2
APACS 9.1	i) Number of people killed or seriously injured in road traffic collisions ii) Number of people killed or seriously injured in road traffic collisions per 100 million vehicle kilometres travelled	Police (joint)	638 8.6	531 7.1	- Not available	539 7.2	525 7.0	492 6.6
Local Indicator:								
-	Number of anti-social behaviour incidents reported per 1,000 population	Local	58.7	55.6	94.9 (2007/08)	56.0	-	
LPI 6	Number of 'youths causing annoyance type' anti-social behaviour incidents reported to the police per 1,000 population (revised measure)	Local	35.6	39.0	Not available	-	35.6	
Tackling Crime								
APACS 5.2	Number of serious acquisitive crimes per 1,000 population	Police (joint)	18.5	16.6	17.9	17.5	16.6	15.3
APACS 6.2	Percentage of serious acquisitive offences brought to justice	Police (joint)	Not available	12.0%	13.7%	To report performance	12.8%	
APACS 5.3	Number of assaults with less serious injury (including racially and religiously aggravated) offences per 1,000 population	Police (joint)	9.4	8.9	8.4	9.1	8.5	8.1
APACS 6.3	Sanction detection rate for racially and religiously aggravated crimes	Police	41.4%	43.3%	42.5%	45.5%	45.5%	
APACS 10.1	The change in convictions for Priority and Prolific Offenders over a 12 month period	Police (joint)	Not Available	-20.2%	Not available	To report performance	To report performance	
APACS 11.1	Rate of proven re-offending by adults under probation supervision	National Offender Management Service	10.3% (estimate)	10.1%	Not available	To report performance	To report performance	
APACS 11.2	Rate of proven re-offending by young offenders aged 10-17	Youth Offending Teams	Not Available	0.85	1.03 (National Average)	To report performance	To report performance	
APACS 11.3	Rate of first time entrants to the Youth Justice System aged 10-17 per 100,000	Youth Offending Teams	2,006	Not available	Not available	To report performance	To report performance	

APACS & Local Performance Indicators and Targets

Indicator	Description	Accountability	Performance 2007/2008	Performance 2008/2009	Most Similar Force Average 2008/2009 ^	Target 2008/2009	Target 2009/2010	Target 2010/2011
Tackling Crime (continued)								
APACS 11.4	The volume of proven offending in a follow-up 12 month period by (Class A) drug misusers identified in the course of their contact with the criminal justice system.	Local Partnerships	Not Available	Not available	Not available	-	To report performance	
APACS 14.1	Percentage of victims of domestic violence of victims managed by a Multi-Agency Risk Assessment Conference (MARAC) who are subject to a repeat incident of domestic violence, where violence has occurred within 12 months of a case coming to the MARAC	Police (joint)	Not Available	14.8%	Not Available	To report performance	To report performance	
Local Indicators:								
LPI 1	a) Number of domestic violence incidents reported per 1,000 population b) Percentage of domestic violence related offences that result in an arrest	Local Local	14.3 88.5%	15.5 88.5%	15.5 Not available	To report performance To report performance	To report performance Maintain performance	
LPI 2	Sanction detection rate for serious acquisitive crime	Local	13.0%	13.9%	15.0%	13.9%	13.9%	
LPI 3	Number of Criminal Damage offences recorded per 1,000 population (excluding code 59)	Local	24.3	21.1	21.2	23.3	Maintain performance	
LPI 4	Number of shoplifting offences per 1,000 population	Local	7.9	8.2	6.3	7.2	6.6	5.7
-	Sanction detection rate for shoplifting offences	Local	62.8%	58.8%	63.8%	64.9%	-	
LPI 5	Number of burglaries at commercial premises per 1,000 population	Local	2.3	2.1	1.8 (2007/08)	2.2	2.0	1.8
-	Sanction detection rate for commercial burglaries	Local	13.9%	13.5%	Not available	14.4%	-	
LPI 8	Number of Domestic Burglaries on the South Bank of the Humber	Local	2,330	2,864	-	(Internal target = 2,144)	1,957	
Serious Crime and Protection								
APACS 5.1	Number of most serious violent crimes per 1,000 population	Police (joint)	0.7	0.7	0.7	Maintain performance	Maintain performance	
APACS 6.1	Percentage of most serious violent offences brought to justice	Police (joint)	Not Available	33.8%	30.3%	To report performance	Maintain performance	
APACS 5.4	Number of domestic homicides per 1,000 population	Police (joint)	0.002	0.003	Not available	To report performance	To report performance	
APACS 5.5	Number of gun crimes per 1,000 population	Police (joint)	0.08	0.08	0.11	Maintain performance	Maintain performance	
APACS 5.6	Number of serious violent knife crimes per 1,000 population	Police (joint)	0.72	0.41	0.46	Maintain performance	Maintain performance	
APACS 6.4	Percentage of serious sexual offences brought to justice	Police (joint)	Not available	27.9%	42.3%	To report performance	36.3%	
APACS 8.1	Value of cash forfeiture orders and confiscation orders per 1,000 population	Police (joint)	£1,820	£1,766	Not available	£2,250	£2,584	
Organisational Management								
APACS 3.1	Percentage of officer recruits from minority ethnic groups compared to the percentage of people from minority ethnic groups in the economically active population	Police	0.0%	0.0%	-	1.6%	1.6%	
APACS 3.2	Percentage of female officers compared to the overall force strength	Police	24.5%	25.3%	25.1% (National average)	Maintain performance	Maintain performance	
APACS 12.1	Delivery of net cashable, efficiency and productivity gains	Police	6.8%	3.0%	Not available	3.0%	3.1%	3.1%
APACS 13.1	Percentage of working hours lost due to sickness for police officers	Police	2.8%	2.9%	3.6% (National average)	Maintain performance	Maintain performance	
APACS 13.2	Percentage of working hours lost due to sickness for police staff	Police	3.6%	3.6%	4.3% (National average)	Maintain performance	Maintain performance	
Local Indicator:								
LPI 7	Proportion of Police Staff (including PCSOs) recruits from minority ethnic groups	Local	2.2% (9/418)	1.7% (5/289)	-	2.5%	4% (10 out of 250 approx.)	6% (15 out of 250 approx.)

^ Most Similar Forces: Derbyshire; Durham; Gwent; Nottinghamshire; South Wales; South Yorkshire.